Some reasons why we are interested in σ -porous sets

Martin Rmoutil

Department of Mathematics Education

Prague, 08/12/2017 Special Seminar of the Department of Analysis

The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

There are only <mark>countably</mark> many algebraic numbers. Hence, there are uncountably many transcendental numbers. Existence proof.

The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

There are only countably many algebraic numbers.

Hence, there are uncountably many transcendental numbers. Existence proof.

The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

There are only countably many algebraic numbers. Hence, there are uncountably many transcendental numbers.

Existence proof.

The set \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

There are only countably many algebraic numbers. Hence, there are uncountably many transcendental numbers. Existence proof.

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1],\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1],\|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let (M, d) be a metric space. A set $A \subseteq M$ is said to be nowhere dense if $\operatorname{Int} \overline{A} = \emptyset$. A set $B \subseteq M$ is said to be meagre if it is the union of countably many nowhere dense sets. $(M \setminus B$ is then comeagre.)

Theorem (Baire)

Let (M, d) be complete. Then M is not meagre (in itself).

The space $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ is complete.

Theorem (Banach, Mazurkiewicz (independently), 1931)

The set $D \subseteq C[0,1]$ of all functions with a point of differentiability is meagre in C[0,1].

Let X be Banach space. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at a point x_0 if there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + x^*(u) + o(||u||), u \to 0.$$

We also define the directional derivative of f at x_0 in direction u as

$$f'(x_0; u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t}.$$

If $f(x_0; \cdot)$ is a bounded linear operator, f is Gâteaux diff. at x_0 .

Theorem (Mazur, 1933)

X separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ meagre.

Theorem (Asplund, 1968)

 X^* separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_F(f)$ meagre

Let X be Banach space. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at a point x_0 if there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + x^*(u) + o(||u||), u \to 0.$$

We also define the *directional derivative* of f at x_0 in direction u as

$$f'(x_0; u) = \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t}.$$

If $f(x_0; \cdot)$ is a bounded linear operator, f is Gâteaux diff. at x_0 .

Theorem (Mazur, 1933)

X separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ meagre.

Theorem (Asplund, 1968)

 X^* separable, $f:X
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex \Rightarrow $N_{\sf F}(f)$ meagre

Let X be Banach space. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at a point x_0 if there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + x^*(u) + o(||u||), u \to 0.$$

We also define the directional derivative of f at x_0 in direction u as

$$f'(x_0; u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t}.$$

If $f(x_0; \cdot)$ is a bounded linear operator, f is Gâteaux diff. at x_0 .

Theorem (Mazur, 1933)

X separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ meagre.

Theorem (Asplund, 1968)

 X^* separable, $f:X
ightarrow\mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex \Rightarrow $N_{\sf F}(f)$ meagre

Let X be Banach space. A function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Fréchet differentiable at a point x_0 if there is $x^* \in X^*$ such that

$$f(x_0 + u) = f(x_0) + x^*(u) + o(||u||), u \to 0.$$

We also define the directional derivative of f at x_0 in direction u as

$$f'(x_0; u) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tu) - f(x_0)}{t}.$$

If $f(x_0; \cdot)$ is a bounded linear operator, f is Gâteaux diff. at x_0 .

Theorem (Mazur, 1933)

X separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ meagre.

Theorem (Asplund, 1968)

 X^* separable, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ cts. and convex $\Rightarrow N_F(f)$ meagre.

Example 2: Lebesgue measure

Let $f: \mathbb{D}_f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$; set $N(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{D}_f : f'(x) \text{ does not exist}\}.$

Theorem (Rademacher)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. Then |N(f)| = 0.

This theorem is sharp for n = 1:

Theorem (Zahorski)

Given a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |A| = 0, there exists a Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that N(f) = A.

For higher dimensions, Rademacher's theorem is not sharp:

Theorem (Preiss)

There exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with |A| = 0 such that for any Lispchitz $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ we have $D(f) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (where $D(f) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N(f)$)

Example 2: Lebesgue measure

Let $f: \mathbb{D}_f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$; set $N(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{D}_f : f'(x) \text{ does not exist}\}.$

Theorem (Rademacher)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. Then |N(f)| = 0.

This theorem is sharp for n = 1:

Theorem (Zahorski)

Given a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |A| = 0, there exists a Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that N(f) = A.

For higher dimensions, Rademacher's theorem is not sharp:

Theorem (Preiss)

There exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with |A| = 0 such that for any Lispchitz $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ we have $D(f) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (where $D(f) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N(f)$)

Example 2: Lebesgue measure

Let $f: \mathbb{D}_f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$; set $N(f) := \{x \in \mathbb{D}_f : f'(x) \text{ does not exist}\}.$

Theorem (Rademacher)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz. Then |N(f)| = 0.

This theorem is sharp for n = 1:

Theorem (Zahorski)

Given a $G_{\delta\sigma}$ set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |A| = 0, there exists a Lipschitz function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that N(f) = A.

For higher dimensions, Rademacher's theorem is not sharp:

Theorem (Preiss)

There exists $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with |A| = 0 such that for any Lispchitz $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ we have $D(f) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ (where $D(f) = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus N(f)$).

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Rademacher's theorem states that a Lipschitz function on \mathbb{R}^n is differentiable up to a negligible set. This negligibility is meant in the sense of Lebesgue measure.

Q: Is this true for another notion of negligibility? E.g. for meager? A: NOT for meager.

Proof.

Find a dense G_{δ} set $G \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with |G| = 0. Then $F := \mathbb{R} \setminus G$ is meagre and $\mathbb{R} = F \cup G$. Zahorski \rightsquigarrow Lipschitz f with N(f) = G. Then f is non-differentiable on a comeagre set; so f is not differentiable up to a meagre set as \mathbb{R} is not meagre by Baire.

This can easily be generalized to any Banach space. Hence, meagre sets are not suitable for the study of differentiability of Lipschitz functions.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G : \mu(x + A) = 0$.

(i) G loc. cpt. ⇒ HN ≡ Haar measure zero;
(ii) G non-loc. cpt. & A ⊆ G cpt. ⇒ A is HN;
(iii) A_n ⊆ G is HN for each n ⇒ ⋃_{n=1}[∞] A_n is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category).

But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G \colon \mu(x+A) = 0$.

(i) G loc. cpt. \Rightarrow HN \equiv Haar measure zero; (ii) G non-loc. cpt. & $A \subseteq G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow A$ is HN; (iii) $A_n \subseteq G$ is HN for each $n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\iff} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G : \mu(x + A) = 0$.

(i) G loc. cpt. ⇒ HN ≡ Haar measure zero;
(ii) G non-loc. cpt. & A ⊆ G cpt. ⇒ A is HN;
(iii) A_n ⊆ G is HN for each n ⇒ ⋃_{n=1}[∞] A_n is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G : \mu(x + A) = 0.$

(i) G loc. cpt. \Rightarrow HN \equiv Haar measure zero; (ii) G non-loc. cpt. & $A \subseteq G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow A$ is HN; (iii) $A_n \subseteq G$ is HN for each $n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G \colon \mu(x + A) = 0$.

(i) G loc. cpt. \Rightarrow HN \equiv Haar measure zero; (ii) G non-loc. cpt. & $A \subseteq G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow A$ is HN; (iii) $A_n \subseteq G$ is HN for each $n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G : \mu(x + A) = 0$.

(i) G loc. cpt.
$$\Rightarrow$$
 HN \equiv Haar measure zero;

(ii) G non-loc. cpt. & $A \subseteq G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow A$ is HN;

(iii) $A_n \subseteq G$ is HN for each $n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is HN.

Let G be a locally compact Polish group. Then there exists a ("unique") Haar measure μ on G, i.e. a non-trivial (left-)translation invariant Radon (σ -additive) measure. Lebesgue measure is Haar. The sets $A \subseteq G$ with $\mu(A) = 0$ are small in the sense of measure, (but not necessarily e.g. in the sense of Baire category). But: In non-locally compact groups there is no Haar measure. (Easy to see.) Nonetheless, is it possible to define a corresponding notion of small sets? Yes!

Definition (Christensen: Haar null sets (HN))

Let G be an Abelian Polish group. $A \subseteq G$ Borel is HN $\stackrel{\text{def.}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists$ Borel prob. $\mu \ \forall x \in G \colon \mu(x + A) = 0$.

(i)
$$G$$
 loc. cpt. \Rightarrow HN \equiv Haar measure zero;
(ii) G non-loc. cpt. & $A \subseteq G$ cpt. $\Rightarrow A$ is HN;
(iii) $A_n \subseteq G$ is HN for each $n \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is HN.

Example 3: HN & Rademacher

Theorem (Christensen, 1972)

X separable BS, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ is HN.

This was followed by Mankiewicz, Aronszajn and Phelps who proved the same result for different notions of smallness, namely *cube null, Aronszajn null* and *Gauss null*.

Remark

X separable BS; consider Lipschitz functions $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Christensen, $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_G(f_n)$ is HN. Since X is not HN, there is a large set (namely $X \setminus B$) where all the functions are Gâteaux d.

Compare to the following (difficult) result:

Theorem (Preiss, 1990)

 X^* separable, f Lipschitz \Rightarrow f is Fréchet diff. on a dense set.

ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Theorem (Christensen, 1972)

X separable BS, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ is HN.

This was followed by Mankiewicz, Aronszajn and Phelps who proved the same result for different notions of smallness, namely *cube null, Aronszajn null* and *Gauss null*.

Remark

X separable BS; consider Lipschitz functions $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Christensen, $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_G(f_n)$ is HN. Since X is not HN, there is a large set (namely $X \setminus B$) where all the functions are Gâteaux d.

Compare to the following (difficult) result:

Theorem (Preiss, 1990)

 X^* separable, f Lipschitz \Rightarrow f is Fréchet diff. on a dense set.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Theorem (Christensen, 1972)

X separable BS, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ is HN.

This was followed by Mankiewicz, Aronszajn and Phelps who proved the same result for different notions of smallness, namely *cube null, Aronszajn null* and *Gauss null*.

Remark

X separable BS; consider Lipschitz functions $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Christensen, $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_G(f_n)$ is HN. Since X is not HN, there is a large set (namely $X \setminus B$) where all the functions are Gâteaux d.

Compare to the following (difficult) result:

Theorem (Preiss, 1990)

 X^* separable, f Lipschitz \Rightarrow f is Fréchet diff. on a dense set.

・ロト ・ 一 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ 日 ト

Theorem (Christensen, 1972)

X separable BS, $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz $\Rightarrow N_G(f)$ is HN.

This was followed by Mankiewicz, Aronszajn and Phelps who proved the same result for different notions of smallness, namely *cube null, Aronszajn null* and *Gauss null*.

Remark

X separable BS; consider Lipschitz functions $f_n: X \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, by Christensen, $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_G(f_n)$ is HN. Since X is not HN, there is a large set (namely $X \setminus B$) where all the functions are Gâteaux d.

Compare to the following (difficult) result:

Theorem (Preiss, 1990)

 X^* separable, f Lipschitz \Rightarrow f is Fréchet diff. on a dense set.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

-

Common property of these notions:

Definition

Let X be a set and S ⊆ P(X). We say S is a σ-ideal if:
(i) A ∈ S and B ⊆ A ⇒ B ∈ S;
(ii) A_n ∈ S for all n ∈ N ⇒ ⋃_{n=1}[∞] A_n ∈ S.
S is nontrivial if X ∉ S.

All the aforementioned notions of smallness correspond to σ -ideals.

- Countable: well-known;
- Meagre: trivial;
- Lebesgue measure zero: σ-additivity of measure;
- HN: requires a proof (Christensen provided one).

Common property of these notions:

Definition

Let X be a set and $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. We say \mathcal{S} is a σ -ideal if:

(i)
$$A \in S$$
 and $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in S$;

(ii)
$$A_n \in S$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in S$.

S is nontrivial if $X \notin S$.

All the aforementioned notions of smallness correspond to σ -ideals.

- Countable: well-known;
- Meagre: trivial;
- Lebesgue measure zero: σ -additivity of measure;
- HN: requires a proof (Christensen provided one).

Common property of these notions:

Definition

Let X be a set and $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. We say S is a σ -ideal if:

(i)
$$A \in S$$
 and $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in S$;

(ii)
$$A_n \in S$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in S$.

S is nontrivial if $X \notin S$.

All the aforementioned notions of smallness correspond to σ -ideals.

- Countable: well-known;
- Meagre: trivial;
- Lebesgue measure zero: σ-additivity of measure;
- HN: requires a proof (Christensen provided one).

Common property of these notions:

Definition

Let X be a set and $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. We say S is a σ -ideal if:

(i)
$$A \in S$$
 and $B \subseteq A \Rightarrow B \in S$;

(ii)
$$A_n \in S$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in S$.

S is nontrivial if $X \notin S$.

All the aforementioned notions of smallness correspond to σ -ideals.

- Countable: well-known;
- Meagre: trivial;
- Lebesgue measure zero: σ-additivity of measure;
- HN: requires a proof (Christensen provided one).

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory.

Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (*Ann. of Math.*))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (*Ann. of Math.*))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (*Ann. of Math.*))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (*Ann. of Math.*))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (Ann. of Math.))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

(i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;

(ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (Ann. of Math.))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (*Ann. of Math.*))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

In finite dimension, Rademacher's result is fairly satisfactory. Rademacher type theorem: On a certain space X there exists a nontrivial σ -ideal $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable up to a set from S.

Corollary: Given Lipschitz functions f_n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$, there is a set $B \left(=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)\right) \in S$ s.t. each $x \in B$ is a point of diff. for all f_n . Q: Given a certain setting, is there a suitable σ -ideal?

Theorem (Lindenstrauss & Preiss, 2003 (Ann. of Math.))

Let K be a countable compact set. Then any Lipschitz $f: C(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. up to a Γ -null set.

- (i) K is countable $\Leftrightarrow C(K)$ is separable and Asplund;
- (ii) X BS is Asplund if every cts. convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable up to a meagre set.

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u.

Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where non diff. in direction u is from A(u)

Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma : [0, 1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) : |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_\infty(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e.

Hence, given a Lip. $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$.

Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma : [0, 1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) : |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_{\infty}(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$.

Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma : [0, 1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) : |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_{\infty}(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$. Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma : [0, 1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) : |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_\infty(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$. Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with span $\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma : [0, 1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) : |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_\infty(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$. Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma \colon [0,1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) \colon |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_\infty(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Let X be a BS. Given $0 \neq u \in X$ We define $\mathcal{A}(u)$ as the family of all Borel sets that are null on every line parallel to u. Lebesgue: Every Lipschitz $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is diff. a.e. Hence, given a Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$, the set B(u) of points where f is non-diff. in direction u is from $\mathcal{A}(u)$. Fact: Let S be the σ -ideal generated by $\mathcal{A}(u)$, $u \in X$. Then every Lip. $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_G(f) \in S$. Moreover, S is nontrivial. A set $A \subseteq X$ is Aronszajn null if for every sequence $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq X$ with $\overline{\text{span}}\{u_i\} = X$ we have $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ where $A_i \in \mathcal{A}(u_i)$.

Definition (Lindenstrauss, Preiss)

Define $\Gamma_n(X)$ as the space of all C^1 -maps $\gamma \colon [0,1]^n \to X$. A Borel set $E \subseteq X$ is Γ_n -null if $\{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(X) \colon |\gamma^{-1}(E)| > 0\}$ is meagre. This makes sense even for $n = \infty$ (then $\Gamma(X) = \Gamma_\infty(X)$ is only a Fréchet space).

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions? The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preis

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness.

Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions?

The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered

A B + A B +

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions?

The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered

A B + A B +

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions? The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered

A B M A B M

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions? The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions? The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered.

(本語) (本語) (二)

Any two Lipschitz functions on a separable Hilbert space have a common point of Fréchet differentiability.

More generally, this works on any spaces with sufficient (asymptotic) smoothness. Open problem: Is this true for 3 functions? The main Rademacher-type thm of Lindenstrauss and Preiss:

Theorem

Let X be a Banach space with separable dual. If σ -porous sets in X are Γ -null, then every Lipschitz $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $N_F(f) \in \Gamma$.

The spaces c_0 , even C(K) for K countable compactum, and the Tsirelson space are known to have the property. By separable reduction this was shown by Cúth even for C(K) with K scattered.

σ -porous sets

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq M$, $x \in M$. We say that A is porous at x, if there are $x_n \to x$ and $r_n \to 0$ with:

- $B(x_n, r_n) \cap A = \emptyset;$
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_n}{d(x_n,x)} > 0$.

Definition (equivalent)

 $\gamma(x, R, A) = \sup \{r > 0 : \text{for some } z \in M, \ B(z, r) \subseteq B(x, R) \setminus A\},$

$$\overline{p}(A, x) = \limsup_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}, \qquad \underline{p}(A, x) = \liminf_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}$$

σ -porous sets

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq M$, $x \in M$. We say that A is porous at x, if there are $x_n \to x$ and $r_n \to 0$ with:

- $B(x_n, r_n) \cap A = \emptyset;$
- $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_n}{d(x_n,x)} > 0$.

Definition (equivalent)

 $\gamma(x, R, A) = \sup \{r > 0 : \text{for some } z \in M, \ B(z, r) \subseteq B(x, R) \setminus A\},\$

$$\overline{p}(A, x) = \limsup_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}, \qquad \underline{p}(A, x) = \liminf_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}$$

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq M$, $x \in M$. We say that A is porous at x, if there are $x_n \to x$ and $r_n \to 0$ with:

•
$$B(x_n, r_n) \cap A = \emptyset;$$

•
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_n}{d(x_n,x)} > 0$$
.

Definition (equivalent)

 $\gamma(x, R, A) = \sup \{r > 0 : \text{for some } z \in M, \ B(z, r) \subseteq B(x, R) \setminus A\},$

$$\overline{p}(A, x) = \limsup_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}, \qquad \underline{p}(A, x) = \liminf_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x, R, A)}{R}$$

Definition

Let (M, d) be a metric space, $A \subseteq M$, $x \in M$. We say that A is porous at x, if there are $x_n \to x$ and $r_n \to 0$ with:

•
$$B(x_n, r_n) \cap A = \emptyset;$$

•
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{r_n}{d(x_n,x)} > 0$$
.

Definition (equivalent)

$$\gamma(x, R, A) = \sup \{r > 0 : \text{for some } z \in M, \ B(z, r) \subseteq B(x, R) \setminus A\},$$

$$\overline{p}(A,x) = \limsup_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x,R,A)}{R}, \qquad \underline{p}(A,x) = \liminf_{R \to 0_+} \frac{2 \cdot \gamma(x,R,A)}{R}.$$

(i) The definition makes sense in any metric space.

- (ii) M uncountable and complete $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -porous sets form a nontriv. σ -ideal.
- (iii) σ -porous sets are always meagre (trivial).
- (iv) In \mathbb{R}^n , each σ -porous set is Lebesgue null (easy density).
- (v) (Zajíček:) There is a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is both n.-d. (\Rightarrow meagre) and Lebesgue null, but is not σ -porous.
- (vi) (Foran:) Graph of a cts. function. (Zelený:) AC function.

Observation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then *M* is porous $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto dist(x, A)$ is differentiable at no point of *A*.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ

- (i) The definition makes sense in any metric space.
- (ii) M uncountable and complete $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -porous sets form a nontriv. σ -ideal.
- (iii) σ -porous sets are always meagre (trivial).
- (iv) In \mathbb{R}^n , each σ -porous set is Lebesgue null (easy density).
- (v) (Zajíček:) There is a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is both n.-d. (\Rightarrow meagre) and Lebesgue null, but is not σ -porous.
- (vi) (Foran:) Graph of a cts. function. (Zelený:) AC function.

Observation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then *M* is porous $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto dist(x, A)$ is differentiable at no point of *A*.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- (i) The definition makes sense in any metric space.
- (ii) M uncountable and complete $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -porous sets form a nontriv. σ -ideal.
- (iii) σ -porous sets are always meagre (trivial).
- (iv) In \mathbb{R}^n , each σ -porous set is Lebesgue null (easy density).
- (v) (Zajíček:) There is a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is both n.-d. (\Rightarrow meagre) and Lebesgue null, but is not σ -porous.
- (vi) (Foran:) Graph of a cts. function. (Zelený:) AC function.

Observation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then *M* is porous $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto dist(x, A)$ is differentiable at no point of *A*.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- (i) The definition makes sense in any metric space.
- (ii) M uncountable and complete $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -porous sets form a nontriv. σ -ideal.
- (iii) σ -porous sets are always meagre (trivial).
- (iv) In \mathbb{R}^n , each σ -porous set is Lebesgue null (easy density).
- (v) (Zajíček:) There is a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is both n.-d. (\Rightarrow meagre) and Lebesgue null, but is not σ -porous.
- (vi) (Foran:) Graph of a cts. function. (Zelený:) AC function.

Observation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then *M* is porous $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto dist(x, A)$ is differentiable at no point of *A*.

周 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ ト

- (i) The definition makes sense in any metric space.
- (ii) M uncountable and complete $\Rightarrow \sigma$ -porous sets form a nontriv. σ -ideal.
- (iii) σ -porous sets are always meagre (trivial).
- (iv) In \mathbb{R}^n , each σ -porous set is Lebesgue null (easy density).
- (v) (Zajíček:) There is a closed $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ which is both n.-d. (\Rightarrow meagre) and Lebesgue null, but is not σ -porous.
- (vi) (Foran:) Graph of a cts. function. (Zelený:) AC function.

Observation.

Let $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then *M* is porous $\Leftrightarrow x \mapsto \operatorname{dist}(x, A)$ is differentiable at no point of *A*.

伺下 イヨト イヨト

Recall Asplund, 1968: X BS with X^{*} separable \Rightarrow for any cts convex $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $N_F(f)$ is meagre.

Preiss, Zajíček (1980s): Same for σ -porous, even cone small sets. These results can be generalized to non-separable Asplund spaces by a separable-reduction technique.

We first prove that the relevant notions, such as σ -porosity and Fréchet differentiability, are separably determined. For example:

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R.)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ be a Souslin set. Then for every separable subspace $V_0 \subset X$ there exists a closed separable space $V \subset X$ such that $V_0 \subset V$ and

(i) A is σ -upper porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -upper porous in V;

(ii) A is σ -lower porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -lower porous in V.

- 4 同 ト - 4 三 ト

Recall Asplund, 1968: X BS with X^* separable \Rightarrow for any cts convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $N_F(f)$ is meagre.

Preiss, Zajíček (1980s): Same for σ -porous, even cone small sets.

These results can be generalized to non-separable Asplund spaces by a separable-reduction technique.

We first prove that the relevant notions, such as σ -porosity and Fréchet differentiability, are separably determined. For example:

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R.)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ be a Souslin set. Then for every separable subspace $V_0 \subset X$ there exists a closed separable space $V \subset X$ such that $V_0 \subset V$ and

(i) A is σ -upper porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -upper porous in V;

(ii) A is σ -lower porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -lower porous in V.

- 4 同 ト - 4 三 ト

Recall Asplund, 1968: X BS with X^* separable \Rightarrow for any cts convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $N_F(f)$ is meagre.

Preiss, Zajíček (1980s): Same for σ -porous, even cone small sets. These results can be generalized to non-separable Asplund spaces by a separable-reduction technique.

We first prove that the relevant notions, such as σ -porosity and Fréchet differentiability, are separably determined. For example:

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R.)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ be a Souslin set. Then for every separable subspace $V_0 \subset X$ there exists a closed separable space $V \subset X$ such that $V_0 \subset V$ and

(i) A is σ -upper porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -upper porous in V;

(ii) A is σ -lower porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -lower porous in V.

(4月) (3日) (3日)

Recall Asplund, 1968: X BS with X^* separable \Rightarrow for any cts convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $N_F(f)$ is meagre.

Preiss, Zajíček (1980s): Same for σ -porous, even cone small sets. These results can be generalized to non-separable Asplund spaces by a separable-reduction technique.

We first prove that the relevant notions, such as σ -porosity and Fréchet differentiability, are separably determined. For example:

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R.)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ be a Souslin set. Then for every separable subspace $V_0 \subset X$ there exists a closed separable space $V \subset X$ such that $V_0 \subset V$ and

(i) A is σ -upper porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -upper porous in V;

(ii) A is σ -lower porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -lower porous in V.

A (1) < A (2) < A (2) </p>

Recall Asplund, 1968: X BS with X^* separable \Rightarrow for any cts convex $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, $N_F(f)$ is meagre.

Preiss, Zajíček (1980s): Same for σ -porous, even cone small sets. These results can be generalized to non-separable Asplund spaces by a separable-reduction technique.

We first prove that the relevant notions, such as σ -porosity and Fréchet differentiability, are separably determined. For example:

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R.)

Let X be a Banach space, $A \subset X$ be a Souslin set. Then for every separable subspace $V_0 \subset X$ there exists a closed separable space $V \subset X$ such that $V_0 \subset V$ and

(i) A is σ -upper porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -upper porous in V;

(ii) A is σ -lower porous $\iff A \cap V$ is σ -lower porous in V.

As a consequence we obtained the following result, which is a generalization of Zajíček's result to the non-separable setting.

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R., Miroslav Zelený)

Let X be an Asplund space and $G \subset X$ be open. Let $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and approximately convex function. Then the set of all points of G at which f is not Fréchet differentiable is cone small.

As a consequence we obtained the following result, which is a generalization of Zajíček's result to the non-separable setting.

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R., Miroslav Zelený)

Let X be an Asplund space and $G \subset X$ be open. Let $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and approximately convex function. Then the set of all points of G at which f is not Fréchet differentiable is cone small.

As a consequence we obtained the following result, which is a generalization of Zajíček's result to the non-separable setting.

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R., Miroslav Zelený)

Let X be an Asplund space and $G \subset X$ be open. Let $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and approximately convex function. Then the set of all points of G at which f is not Fréchet differentiable is cone small.

As a consequence we obtained the following result, which is a generalization of Zajíček's result to the non-separable setting.

Theorem (Marek Cúth, M.R., Miroslav Zelený)

Let X be an Asplund space and $G \subset X$ be open. Let $f: G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous and approximately convex function. Then the set of all points of G at which f is not Fréchet differentiable is cone small.

Thank you for your attention.