Lecture 9 | 06.05.2024

Marginal models for non-normal response

GLM extensions for the longitudinal data

separate models for the mean and the covariance structure

- Marginal modelsprimary interest is given to the conditional mean structure
- Random effects models
 - one equation used to account for both—the mean and the covariance
 - mostly used when some subject specific inference is of the main interest
- Transition models
 - primary interest again with respect to the mean structure
 - ☐ the correlation structure due to historical observations within the subject

GLM extensions for the longitudinal data

Marginal models
 primary interest is given to the conditional mean structure separate models for the mean and the covariance structure
Random effects models
 one equation used to account for both—the mean and the covariance mostly used when some subject specific inference is of the main interest
Transition models
 primary interest again with respect to the mean structure the correlation structure due to historical observations within the subject

All three categories of the regression models for correlated (repeated) observations above lead to the same model (with the same interpretation) for the Gaussian type of the data but for the discrete data different models can produce different interpretations (due to the non-linearity involved n the models)

Marginal models in general

- □ For simplicity, let $Y_i = (Y_{i1}, ..., Y_{in_i})^{\top}$ denote a vector of a correlated binary responses for some individual $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$
- □ The idea is to model $P[\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y} | \mathbb{X}_i]$, for $\mathbf{y} \in \{0,1\}^{\times n_i}$ by utilizing the marginals of the joint distribution (conditionally on \mathbb{X}_i) $P[\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y} | \mathbb{X}_i]$
- $lue{}$ The saturated model has $2^{n_i}-1$ parameters and different "marginals"
 - $oxed{\Box}$ First order marginals $\mu_j=P[Y_{ij}=1],$ for $j=1,\ldots,n_i$
 - \square Second order marginals $\mu_{jk} = P[Y_{ij} = 1, Y_{ik} = 1]$, for $j \neq k$
 - $oxed{\Box}$ Third order marginals $\mu_{jkl}=P[Y_{ij}=1,Y_{ik}=1,Y_{ikl}=1],$ for $j\neq k\neq l$

 - $oxed{\Box}$ The n_i^{th} order marginal $\mu_{1,\dots,N}=P[\mathbf{Y}_i=\mathbf{1}]$, where $\mathbf{1}=(1,\dots,1)^{ op}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$

Marginal models in general

binary responses for some individual $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ The idea is to model $P[Y_i = y | X_i]$, for $y \in \{0, 1\}^{\times n_i}$ by utilizing the marginals of the joint distribution (conditionally on \mathbb{X}_i) $P[Y_i = y | \mathbb{X}_i]$ The saturated model has $2^{n_i} - 1$ parameters and different "marginals" \square First order marginals $\mu_i = P[Y_{ij} = 1]$, for $j = 1, \ldots, n_i$ \Box Second order marginals $\mu_{jk} = P[Y_{ij} = 1, Y_{ik} = 1]$, for $j \neq k$ \Box Third order marginals $\mu_{jkl} = P[Y_{ij} = 1, Y_{ik} = 1, Y_{ikl} = 1]$, for $j \neq k \neq l$ **...** \square The n_i^{th} order marginal $\mu_{1,\ldots,N}=P[m{Y}_i=m{1}]$, where $m{1}=(1,\ldots,1)^{ op}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ Which marginals should be used in the model and how should they explain the overall joint probability $P[Y_i = y]$ (always conditionally on X_i) full log-linear model

log-linear model for first and higher order marginals (GEE formulation)

Bahadur model for first order marginals and correlations

 \square marginal model for μ_i and marginal odds ratios

For simplicity, let $\mathbf{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i})^{\top}$ denote a vector of a correlated

Full log-linear model

- \blacksquare the joint probability $P[Y_i = y]$ for $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_i})^{\top} \in \{0, 1\} \times \dots \{0, 1\}$ can be expressed as $P[Y_i = y] = P[Y_{i1} = y_1 \land Y_{i2} = y_2 \land \cdots \land Y_{in_i} = y_{n_i}]$
- \Box there are many options how to define a saturated model (with 2^n total parameters but only $2^n - 1$ free parameters)
- ☐ the model commonly used model (by Bishop et al. 1975) is a log-linear model which can be formulated as

$$P[\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y}] = c(\theta_i) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{ij}^{(1)} y_j + \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \theta_{ij_1j_2}^{(2)} y_{j1} y_{j2} + \dots + \theta_{i1...n_i}^{(n_i)} y_1 \dots y_{n_i} \right\}$$

for the vector $\theta_i = (\theta_{i1}^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_{in_i}^{(1)}, \theta_{i12}^{(2)}, \dots, \theta_{i1-n_i}^{(n)})^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{n_i-1}}$ which is the canonical vector of the unknown model parameters $(\theta_{ii}^{(1)})$ are conditional log odds and $\theta_i^{(k)}$ for k > 2 are conditional log odds ratios)

however, the association between Y_i and Y_k depends on all other values of Y_l for $l \neq j, k$, respectively

$$\log \left[\frac{P[Y_{ij} = 1 | Y_{ik} = y_k, Y_{il} = 0 \forall l \neq j, k]}{P[Y_{ii} = 0 | Y_{ik} = y_k, Y_{il} = 0 \forall l \neq j, k]} \right] = \theta_{ij}^{(1)} + \theta_{ijk}^{(2)} y_k$$

Full log-linear model

- \blacksquare the joint probability $P[Y_i = y]$ for $y = (y_1, \dots, y_{n_i})^{\top} \in \{0, 1\} \times \dots \{0, 1\}$ can be expressed as $P[Y_i = y] = P[Y_{i1} = y_1 \land Y_{i2} = y_2 \land \cdots \land Y_{in_i} = y_{n_i}]$
- \Box there are many options how to define a saturated model (with 2^n total parameters but only $2^n - 1$ free parameters)
- ☐ the model commonly used model (by Bishop et al. 1975) is a log-linear model which can be formulated as

$$P[\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y}] = c(\theta_i) \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_{ij}^{(1)} y_j + \sum_{j_1 < j_2} \theta_{ij_1j_2}^{(2)} y_{j1} y_{j2} + \dots + \theta_{i1...n_i}^{(n_i)} y_1 \dots y_{n_i} \right\}$$

for the vector $\theta_i = (\theta_{i1}^{(1)}, \dots, \theta_{in}^{(1)}, \theta_{i12}^{(2)}, \dots, \theta_{i1n-n}^{(n)})^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{2^{n_i-1}}$ which is the canonical vector of the unknown model parameters $(\theta_{ii}^{(1)})$ are conditional log odds and $\theta_i^{(k)}$ for k > 2 are conditional log odds ratios)

however, the association between Y_i and Y_k depends on all other values of Y_l for $l \neq j, k$, respectively

$$\log \left[\frac{P[Y_{ij} = 1 | Y_{ik} = y_k, Y_{il} = 0 \forall l \neq j, k]}{P[Y_{ii} = 0 | Y_{ik} = y_k, Y_{il} = 0 \forall l \neq j, k]} \right] = \theta_{ij}^{(1)} + \theta_{ijk}^{(2)} y_k$$

it would be more interesting to model $P[Y_i = 1 | \mathbf{X}] = E[Y_i | \mathbf{X}] = \mu_i$

Marginal models towards GEE

Mean structure

The marginal (conditional) expectation of the response depends (non-linearly) on a linear combination of the explanatory variables (i.e., linear predictor)

$$h(\mu_{ij}) = \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \quad \text{for } \mu_{ij} = E[Y_{ij} | \boldsymbol{X}_{ij}] \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

for a known, strictly monotone, and twice continuously differentiable function h

Variance structure

The marginal (conditional) variance of the response depends on the marginal mean (and, optionally, some other overdispersion parameter $\phi > 0$) as

$$Var(Y_{ij}|\mathbf{X}_{ij}) = v(\mu_{ij})\phi$$
, for $\phi > 0$

for a known positive and continuously differentiable function v

□ Covariance structure

The correlation between two observations Y_{ij} and Y_{ik} (within the same subject $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$) is assumed to be modeled as

$$Cor(Y_{ij}, Y_{ik} | \mathbf{X}_{ij}, \mathbf{X}_{ik}) = \rho(\mu_{ij}, \mu_{ik}, \alpha), \text{ for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^q$$

for a known covariance function ρ

Key pivots of the marginal models

- Instead of specifying the whole distribution (i.e., the exponential family of distributions) which is required, for instance, for the likelihood based estimation in GLM, only a specification of the first two moments (and their mutual relationship) is provided (quasi-likelihood and GEE instead)
- \blacksquare For $\boldsymbol{Y}_i = (Y_{i1}, \dots, Y_{in_i})^{\top}$ and $\mathbb{X}_i = (\boldsymbol{X}_{i1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{in_i})^{\top}$ we separately specify the mean $E[Y_i|X_i] = X_i\beta$ and the covariance $Var[Y_i|X_i] = V_i(X_i, \beta, \phi, \alpha)$
- As the distribution is not provided the likelihood based on the data can not be constructed. Therefore, in a sense, this is not a parametric model (where the parameters specify the whole distribution) but rather a semi-parametric one (the parameters only specify the first two moments)
- \square In a log-linear model (with the first (β) and higher order (α) marginals) the score function for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ leads to a GEE formulation with the equations $(\partial \mu/\partial \beta)^{\top}[Var(\mathbf{Y})]^{-1}(\mathbf{Y}-\mu)=\mathbf{0}$
- ☐ Therefore, in order to use the quasi-likelihood estimation approach appropriately, one has to correctly specify both, the mean and the variance-covariance function

Maximum likelihood for GLM

- \square for some generic random vector $(Y, \mathbf{X}^{\top})^{\top} \sim F_{(Y, \mathbf{X})}$ we assume that $f(y|\mathbf{X}) = \exp\{\phi^{-1}(y\theta - \psi(\theta)) + c(y,\phi)\}\$ is an exponential family
- \Box the linear predictor $\theta = \mathbf{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ is associated with the conditional mean $E[Y|X] = \mu$ via the link function g, such that $g(\mu) = \theta \equiv \theta(\beta)$
- \square as far as f(y|X) is a probability density function, it holds that $\int (y|X)dy = 1$ (integrating with respect to the appropriate measure)
- \Box first and second partial derivatives of $\int (y|\mathbf{X})dy = 1$ with respect to θ vields the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$
: $\int [y - \psi'(\theta)] f(y|\mathbf{X}) dy = 0$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} : \int [\psi^{-1}(y - \psi'(\theta))^2 - \psi''(\theta)] f(y|\mathbf{X}) dy = 0$$

which gives $\mu = E[Y|X] = \psi'(\theta)$ and $Var[Y|X] = \phi \psi''[(\psi')^{-1}(\mu)]$

Score equations under MLE

- \square for the random sample $\mathcal{D}_S = \{(Y_i, X_i); i = 1, ..., N\}$ we have $f(y|\mathbf{X}_i) = \exp\{\phi^{-1}(y\theta_i - \psi(\theta_i)) + c(y,\phi)\}\$ where $\theta_i = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top}\beta \equiv \theta_i(\beta)$
- \square as $\theta_i = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}$ and we aim to estimate the unknown parameter vector $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we need partial derivatives with respect to $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- Log-likelihood

$$\ell(oldsymbol{eta},\phi,\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}) = rac{1}{\phi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [Y_i heta_i - \psi(heta_i)] + \sum_{i=1}^{N} c(Y_i,\phi)$$

First order derivatives wrt. β

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi, \mathcal{D}_{S})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = \frac{1}{\phi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} [Y_{i} - \psi'(\theta_{i})]$$

 \square Score equations for β

$$\mathcal{S}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} rac{\partial heta_i}{\partial oldsymbol{eta}} [Y_i - \psi'(heta_i)] = \mathbf{0}$$

Score equations under MLE – continuation

 \square since $\mu_i = \psi'(\theta_i)$ a also $v_i = v(\mu_i) = \psi''(\theta_i)$ the score equations become

$$\mathcal{S}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N rac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial oldsymbol{eta}} v_i^{-1} [Y_i - \psi'(heta_i)] = \mathbf{0}$$

and, thus, the estimation of $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ depends on the exponential distribution only through the mean μ_i and the variance function $v_i = v(\mu_i)$

- the solution is obtained numerically (e.g., Newton-Raphson, iterative re-weighted LS, Fisher scoring)
- $lue{}$ the inference about $eta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is based on classical maximum likelihood theory (i.e., asymptotic Wald tests, likelihood ratio tests, score tests)
- ightharpoonup the over-dispersion parameter can be estimated from the residuals

$$\widehat{\phi} = \frac{1}{N - \rho} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{[Y_i - \widehat{\mu}_i]^2}{v_i(\widehat{\mu}_i)}$$

General Estimating Equations (GEE)

 \Box for independent observations Y_1, \ldots, Y_N (within the GLM framework) the corresponding score equations for estimating $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are

$$\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} v_i^{-1} [Y_i - \mu_i] = \mathbf{0}$$

 \Box for longitudinal observations Y_1, \ldots, Y_n (within the GEE framework) the score equations for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ can be seen as multivariate extensions

$$\mathcal{S}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{n_i} rac{\partial \mu_{ij}}{\partial oldsymbol{eta}} v_{ij}^{-1} [Y_{ij} - \mu_{ij}] = \mathbf{0}$$

☐ which can be also expressed in a more common (as a sum of independent subjects) matrix notation

$$\mathcal{S}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{D}_i^{ op} [\mathbb{V}_i(oldsymbol{lpha})]^{-1} [oldsymbol{Y}_i - oldsymbol{\mu}_i] = oldsymbol{0}$$

where
$$\mathbb{D}_i = \left(rac{\partial \mu_{ij}}{\partial eta_k}
ight)_{i,k=1}^{n_i,
ho}$$
 and $\mathbb{V}_i(m{lpha}) \equiv \mathbb{V}_i(\mathbb{X}_i,m{eta},\phi,m{lpha})$

Correlation structure within Y_i

Note, that the variance matrix $Var[Y_i|X_i]$ is relatively complex and specific structural decomposition is typically used to model the variance-covariance structure more carefully

$$Var[\mathbf{Y}_i|\mathbb{X}_i] = \mathbb{V}_i(\mathbb{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\beta}, \phi, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \phi \mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \mathbb{R}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

where the matrix $\mathbb{A}_{i}^{1/2}(\beta)$ models the covariance of the repeated observations for the given subject $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$

$$\mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{\mathsf{v}_{i1}(\mu_{i1})} & \dots & 0 \ dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & \dots & \sqrt{\mathsf{v}_{in_i}(\mu_{in_i})} \end{array}
ight)$$

and the correlation of the repeated observations is modeled by $\mathbb{R}_i(\alpha)$

Correlation structure within Y_i

Note, that the variance matrix $Var[Y_i|X_i]$ is relatively complex and specific structural decomposition is typically used to model the variance-covariance structure more carefully

$$Var[\mathbf{Y}_i|\mathbb{X}_i] = \mathbb{V}_i(\mathbb{X}_i, \beta, \phi, \alpha) = \phi \mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(\beta) \mathbb{R}_i(\alpha) \mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(\beta)$$

where the matrix $\mathbb{A}_{i}^{1/2}(\beta)$ models the covariance of the repeated observations for the given subject $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$

$$\mathbb{A}_i^{1/2}(oldsymbol{eta}) = \left(egin{array}{ccc} \sqrt{\mathsf{v}_{i1}(\mu_{i1})} & \dots & 0 \ dots & \ddots & dots \ 0 & \dots & \sqrt{\mathsf{v}_{in_i}(\mu_{in_i})} \end{array}
ight)$$

and the correlation of the repeated observations is modeled by $\mathbb{R}_i(\alpha)$

Recall, that the covariances and variances follow from the mean structure... for modeling purposes we specify the mean structure and the correlations (i.e., the working correlation matrix)

Statistical properties and inference

 \Box the GEE estimates of β are consistent even if the working correlation matrix is incorrect

$$\sqrt{N}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_N - \boldsymbol{\beta}) \sim N_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}(\boldsymbol{0}, \mathbb{I}_0^{-1} \mathbb{I}_1 \mathbb{I}_0^{-1})$$

where \mathbb{I}_0 is the limit matrix of $\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{D}_i^\top [\mathbb{V}_i(\beta)]^{-1} \mathbb{D}_i$ and, analogously also \mathbb{I}_1 is the limit matrix of $\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{D}_i^\top [\mathbb{V}_i(\beta)]^{-1} Var(\mathbf{Y}_i) [\mathbb{V}_i(\beta)]^{-1} \mathbb{D}_i$

- \square note, that if the variance matrix $Var(Y_i)$ is correctly specified, the asymptotic variance only reduces to \mathbb{I}_0^{-1} (the likelihood variance)
- an estimate for \mathbb{I}_1 can be obtained by replacing $Var(\mathbf{Y}_i)$ by $(\mathbf{Y}_i - \widehat{\mu}_i)(\mathbf{Y}_i - \widehat{\mu}_i)^{\top}$ which usually leads to a good estimate of \mathbb{I}_1 even if it is a bad estimate for $Var(Y_i)$

Alternatives and extensions

- Prentice's two sets of GEE
 - (two sets of GEE equations—one to obtain the estimates for β and the other one to get the estimates for α)
- GEE based on linearization
 - (linearization in a form of $Y_{ij} = \mu_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}$, where $\varepsilon_{ij} = \mu_{ij}$ with probability $1 \mu_{ii}$ and $\varepsilon_{ii} = 1 \mu_{ii}$ with probability μ_{ii})
- ☐ GEE2 up to GGEk generalizations
 - (extended marginal mean structure considering the first and second (possibly up to kth order) marginals and pairwise associations)
- □ Alternating Logistic Regression (ALR) (parameters β and α are estimated in two separate alternating regression formulations that are iterated until convergence)

Alternating logistic regression

- $lue{}$ when the response variable only takes two possible values $Y_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$ (i.e., logistic regression) the mean and the correlation structure can be estimated using two alternating regression models
- first order marginals are used to model the conditional mean structure using the equation $logit(E[Y_{ii}|X_{ii}]) = X_{ii}^{\top}\beta$ and the marginal odds ratios are used to model the associations

logit
$$P[Y_{ij} = 1 | Y_{ik} = y_{ik}] = \alpha_{ijk} y_{ik} + \ln \left(\frac{P[Y_{ij} = 1, Y_{ik} = 1] P[Y_{ij} = 0, Y_{ik} = 0]}{P[Y_{ij} = 0, Y_{ik} = 1] P[Y_{ij} = 1, Y_{ik} = 0]} \right)$$

where $\alpha_{ijk}y_{ik} \in \mathbb{R}$ is modeled as a predictor variable and some unknown parameter and the odds ratio is an offset parameter (intercept)

the alternating logistic regression is (almost) as efficient as GEE2 and (almost) as computationally easy as GGE

Summary

- Marginal models for correlated observations are specifically suitable for population interpretation and population based inference
- Different strategies can be used to build the model using the marginals of the joint distribution $P[Y_i = y | X_i]$
- Different models imply different interpretation of the estimated parameters and also different limitations for a practical utilization
- □ For a subject specific interpretation another models need to be used for instance, models with random effects