



Advanced Methods in Mathematical Analysis

Winter Semester 2025/26 — Sheet 11

Task 1 (The obstacle problem)

This task will focus on the obstacle problem posed on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, which consists in finding a function $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ that minimises the following energy

$$I: H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

$$I(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v(x)|^2 dx - \langle f, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega); H_0^1(\Omega)} + \chi_K(v),$$

where $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega) = (H_0^1(\Omega))^*$ is given, and K is the admissible set associated to a given obstacle $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\varphi|_{\partial\Omega} \leq 0$:

$$K := \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega) \mid v \geq \varphi \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}.$$

- (a) Prove that I is convex, proper and lower semicontinuous on $H_0^1(\Omega)$.
- (b) Using the direct method of the calculus of variations, prove that there is a unique solution to the obstacle problem.
- (c) Based on the optimality condition $0 \in \partial I(u)$, prove that the solution u satisfies the following variational inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(v - u) \geq \langle f, v - u \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega); H_0^1(\Omega)} \quad \forall v \in K.$$

- (d) Assuming additional regularity, e.g. $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$, $\varphi, f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ should suffice, prove that the obstacle problem can be re-written as:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u - f \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u - \varphi \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (u - \varphi)(\Delta u + f) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

How can you interpret these conditions?

- (e) **[Bonus for those with knowledge of distribution theory]** Prove that any positive distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ (meaning that $F(\psi) \geq 0$ for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ with $\psi \geq 0$) can be extended to an element of $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$.
- (f) Prove that $\mu := -\Delta u - f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is positive and therefore can be identified with a Radon measure.
- (g) It can be shown that every $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ has a representative \tilde{v} that is μ -measurable for which one can write

$$\langle \mu, v \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Omega); H_0^1(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{v} d\mu.$$

Moreover, the obstacle constraint can be interpreted also as $\tilde{u} \geq \tilde{\varphi}$ μ -a.e. in Ω . In addition, there is a sequence $\{\varphi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset K$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_k$ decreases pointwise μ -a.e. to $\tilde{\varphi}$.



Using these facts, prove that $u \in K$ is the solution to the obstacle problem if and only if $\mu := -\Delta u - f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$ is a positive Radon measure and the following complementarity condition holds:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\tilde{u} - \tilde{\varphi}) d\mu = 0$$

This is the generalisation of (d) for less regular solutions.

Task 2 (The incompressible Navier–Stokes equations)

The focus of this task is the incompressible Navier–Stokes system. Here we look for a velocity field $\mathbf{u}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and a pressure $p: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (again $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is bounded and Lipschitz and $d \in \{2, 3\}$), such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p = \mathbf{f} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here $\mathbf{f}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is a given forcing term.

- (a) Assume that $\mathbf{f} \in H^{-1}(\Omega)^d$. Derive a weak formulation of the Navier–Stokes equations posed on the space of divergence-free functions (i.e. $\mathbf{u} \in H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega)$)

$$H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega) := \{\mathbf{v} \in H_0^1(\Omega)^d \mid \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \Omega\}$$

(You should check that this is in fact a Hilbert space.)

- (b) Prove that for any $\mathbf{v} \in H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega)$ one has:

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v}) : \nabla \mathbf{v} = 0$$

(You should justify why this integral is well-defined in the first place.)

- (c) Consider the following operator $T: H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega)$:

$$T(\mathbf{v}) = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\mathbf{f} - \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v})),$$

where $(-\Delta)^{-1}: (H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega))^* \rightarrow H_{0,\operatorname{div}}^1(\Omega)$ is the solution operator to the Laplace problem with zero boundary conditions. Verify that T is well-defined and is in fact a compact operator.

- (d) Making use of T , reformulate the weak formulation from (a) as a fixed point problem and with the help of Schaffer's Fixed Point Theorem prove that a solution exists.
- (e) **[Bonus]** Prove that if \mathbf{f} is small enough, the solution \mathbf{u} is unique.