

Random fields on a lattice

1. Working with cliques:

- a) How many cliques are there on the given graph?
- b) Which neighbourhood relation on 13 vertices would result in the least possible number of cliques? What is the number of cliques in that case?
- c) Which neighbourhood relation on 13 vertices would result in the maximum possible number of cliques? What is the number of cliques in that case?
- d) Draw a neighbourhood relation that results in exactly 20 cliques.
- e) Is there any other way of representing the neighbourhood relation, other than an undirected graph?
- f) Is there any neighbourhood relation relevant for the regions of Czech Republic other than the one based on the common boundary?

2. Show that a Markov chain $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ is a Markov random field with respect to the relation $i \sim j \Leftrightarrow |i - j| \leq 1$. Prove that the converse implication holds as follows: if $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ is a Markov random field with a probability density function satisfying $p(\mathbf{z}) > 0$ for all $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T$ then it is a Markov chain.
3. Consider a Markov random field on a lattice L with respect to the relation $i \sim j$. If $i \in L$ has no neighbours, i.e. $\partial i = \emptyset$, does that imply that Z_i and Z_{-i} are independent?
4. Consider a Markov random field on a lattice L with respect to the relation $i \sim j$. If $i, j \in L$ are not neighbours, i.e. $i \not\sim j$, does that imply that Z_i and Z_j are independent?
5. Let L be a lattice and \sim be a relation on L given by the graph $G = (L, E)$. Assume that Z is a Markov random field on L with respect to \sim . Consider adding a new edge, obtaining the graph $G' = (L, E')$ with $E \subset E'$. Does the random field Z considered above have the Markov property with respect to the relation given by the graph G' ?
6. Let L be a lattice and \sim be the relation on L given by the complete graph $G = (L, 2^L)$. Let Z be a random field on L . Show that it is Markov with respect to \sim . What is the factorization given by the Hammersley-Clifford theorem?
7. Consider a Gaussian random field on a lattice L , i.e. the joint distribution of $\{Z_i, i \in L\}$ is n -dimensional Gaussian. Assume the covariance matrix Σ is regular and hence $\mathbf{Q} = \Sigma^{-1}$ exists. The joint probability density function of $\{Z_i, i \in L\}$ is

$$p(\mathbf{z}) = \frac{\sqrt{\det \mathbf{Q}}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \in L} q_{ij} (z_i - \mu_i)(z_j - \mu_j) \right\}, \quad \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^L.$$

If this random field is to be Markov, what should be the neighbourhood relation?

8. Local characteristics do not determine the joint distribution. Consider a lattice with two lattice points $L = \{i, j\}$ and assume that $Z_i | Z_j = z_j$ has an exponential distribution with rate z_j and $Z_j | Z_i = z_i$ has an exponential distribution with rate z_i . Show that these conditional distributions do not correspond to any probability distribution, i.e. a (proper) joint probability density function of the vector $(Z_i, Z_j)^T$ does not exist.

9. Let Z be a Markov random field on a lattice L and with respect to the relation $i \sim j$. Assume that the random variables $\{Z_i, i \in L\}$ are binary, i.e. $S = \{0, 1\}$, and that Z_i have the same expectation. We want to test the null hypothesis of independence of $\{Z_i, i \in L\}$, taking into account the neighbourhood relation $i \sim j$. Under the assumptions above, the null hypothesis in fact states that $\{Z_i, i \in L\}$ are i.i.d. random variables.

- Propose an appropriate test statistics T ;
- discuss how to perform the test if we can simulate from the model under the null hypothesis;
- discuss how to perform the test if we cannot simulate from the model under the null hypothesis;
- if the point $i \in L$ has many neighbours and the point $j \in L$ has few neighbours, the impact of Z_i on the value of T can perhaps be much higher than the impact of Z_j – propose a way how to compensate for that.

Additional exercises

10. Show that any Gibbs random field satisfies

$$p(\mathbf{z}_A | \mathbf{z}_{-A}) = p(\mathbf{z}_A | \mathbf{z}_{\partial A})$$

for any $A \subseteq L$ and $\mathbf{z} \in S^L$. The symbol ∂A denotes the set of neighbours of the set A , i.e. $\partial A = (\cup_{i \in A} \partial i) \setminus A$.

11. Let $S = \mathbb{N}_0$ and L be a finite lattice in \mathbb{R}^d . Show that if $\beta_{ij} \geq 0$ for all $i, j \in L$ such that $i \sim j$, $i \neq j$, then the constant

$$\sum_{\mathbf{z} \in S^L} \exp \left(- \sum_{i \in L} (\log z_i! + \beta_i z_i) - \sum_{\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{C}} \beta_{ij} z_i z_j \right)$$

is finite. On the other hand, it is infinite if $\beta_{ij} < 0$ for any $i, j \in L$: $i \sim j$, $i \neq j$.

Hint: In the first case consider the configurations with $\max z_i = k$ (there are $(k+1)^n - k^n$ of those). In the second case consider the configurations with $z_i = z_j = k$ and $z_l = 0$ for $l \in L \setminus \{i, j\}$.

12. Let the random variable Z_1 have a normal distribution $N(0, \frac{1}{1-\varphi^2})$ with $|\varphi| < 1$. Consider a first-order autoregressive sequence $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ defined by the formula

$$Z_t = \varphi Z_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad t = 2, \dots, n,$$

where $\{\varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ is a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with the $N(0, 1)$ distribution and the sequence is independent of Z_1 . Determine the variance matrix Σ of the vector $(Z_1, \dots, Z_n)^T$ and the matrix $\mathbf{Q} = \Sigma^{-1}$. Show that $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_n\}$ is a Gaussian Markov random field with respect to the relation $i \sim j \Leftrightarrow |i - j| \leq 1$.

13. Let $\{Z_i : i \in L\}$ be a Gaussian Markov random field with the precision matrix \mathbf{Q} . Show that

$$\text{corr}(Z_i, Z_j | \mathbf{Z}_{-\{i, j\}}) = -\frac{q_{ij}}{\sqrt{q_{ii}q_{jj}}}, \quad i \neq j.$$

14. Consider random variables X_1, X_2 having only values 0 or 1. We specify the conditional distributions using the logistic regression models:

$$\text{logit } \mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1 | X_2) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 X_2, \quad \text{logit } \mathbb{P}(X_2 = 1 | X_1) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1,$$

where $\text{logit } p = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$ and $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \beta_0, \beta_1$ are real-valued parameters. Determine the joint distribution of the random vector $(X_1, X_2)^T$ using the Brook lemma.

Random fields on a connected domain

1. Let $d > 1$ and let $C_d(h), h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, be an autocovariance function of a random field $\{Z(u), u \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, i.e. C_d is a positive semidefinite function on \mathbb{R}^d . Assume that the random field is stationary and isotropic and hence $C_d(h) = f(\|h\|_d), h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\|h\|_d$ denotes the d -dimensional Euclidean norm. For $1 \leq k < d$ define $C_k(u) = f(\|u\|_k), u \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Prove that C_k is the autocovariance function of some random field $\{Y(u), u \in \mathbb{R}^k\}$.

2. Let $\{W^H(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}_+^d\}$ be a centered Gaussian random field with the covariances

$$\mathbb{E} W^H(t)W^H(s) = \frac{1}{2}(\|t\|^{2H} + \|s\|^{2H} - \|t - s\|^{2H}), t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+^d,$$

where $H \in (0, 1)$. Such a random field is called the *Lévy's fractional Brownian random field*. Show that it is an intrinsically stationary random field and determine its variogram.

3. Consider a spherical model for the autocovariance function of a stationary isotropic random field:

$$C(\|h\|) = \sigma^2 \frac{|b(o, \varrho) \cap b(h, \varrho)|}{|b(o, \varrho)|}, h \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

This model is valid in the dimension d and all the lower dimensions, see Exercise 1 above. However, it is not valid in higher dimensions. Express this autocovariance function for $d = 1$ and check that it is a positive semidefinite function. Show that this function considered in \mathbb{R}^2 (using $\|h\|, h \in \mathbb{R}^2$, as its argument) is not positive semidefinite.

Hint: Consider the points $x_{ij} = (i\sqrt{2}\varrho, j\sqrt{2}\varrho), i, j = 1, \dots, 8$ and the coefficients $\alpha_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j}$.

4. Express the autocovariance function from the previous Exercise for $d = 2$ using elementary functions.
5. Determine the spectral density of a weakly stationary random field with the autocovariance function

$$C(h) = \exp\{-\|h\|^2\}, h \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

6. Discuss how to estimate the semivariogram of an isotropic intrinsic stationary random field, based on the observations $Z(x_1), \dots, Z(x_n)$.
7. Discuss how to test the independence of two stationary random fields defined on the same domain, based on the observations $(Z_1(x_1), Z_2(x_1)), \dots, (Z_1(x_n), Z_2(x_n))$.

Random measures

1. Show that

- a) $\mu \mapsto \mu(B)$ is a measurable mapping from $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$ to $([0, \infty], \mathcal{B}([0, \infty]))$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$,
- b) $\mu \mapsto \mu|_B$ is a measurable mapping from $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$ to $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(E)$.
- c) $\mu \mapsto \int_E f(x) \mu(dx)$ is a measurable mapping from $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{M})$ to $([0, \infty], \mathcal{B}([0, \infty]))$ for every non-negative measurable function f on E .

2. Prove that Ψ is a random measure if and only if $\Psi(B)$ is a random variable for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

3. Consider independent random variables U_1 a U_2 with uniform distribution on the interval $[0, a]$, $a > 0$, and the point process Φ on \mathbb{R}^2 defined as

$$\Phi = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{(U_1 + ma, U_2 + na)}.$$

Determine the intensity measure of this process.

4. Let Ψ be a random measure. Check that the following formulas hold for $B, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$:

- a) $\text{var } \Psi(B) = M^{(2)}(B \times B) - \Lambda(B)^2$,
- b) $\text{cov}(\Psi(B_1), \Psi(B_2)) = M^{(2)}(B_1 \times B_2) - \Lambda(B_1)\Lambda(B_2)$.

5. Let Φ be a point process. Why the corresponding measure $M^{(n)}$ cannot have a density w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n \cdot d}$ but the measure $\alpha^{(n)}$ can? Consider $n = 2, d = 1$.

6. Let Φ be a simple point process. Check that the following formulas hold for $B, B_1, B_2, B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$:

- a) $M^{(2)}(B_1 \times B_2) = \Lambda(B_1 \cap B_2) + \alpha^{(2)}(B_1 \times B_2)$,
- b) $M^{(3)}(B_1 \times B_2 \times B_3) = \Lambda(B_1 \cap B_2 \cap B_3) + \alpha^{(2)}((B_1 \cap B_2) \times B_3) + \alpha^{(2)}((B_1 \cap B_3) \times B_2) + \alpha^{(2)}((B_2 \cap B_3) \times B_1) + \alpha^{(3)}(B_1 \times B_2 \times B_3)$,
- c) $\alpha^{(n)}(B \times \dots \times B) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(B)(\Phi(B) - 1) \dots (\Phi(B) - n + 1)]$.

Additional exercises

7. For $0 < a < b < c$ let us consider the sets $K_1 = \{0, a, a + b, a + b + c\}$ and $K_2 = \{0, a, a + c, a + b + c\}$. Let X_0 be a random variable with the uniform distribution on the interval $[0, a + b + c]$. We define simple point processes Φ_1 and Φ_2 on \mathbb{R} such that $\text{supp } \Phi_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x = X_0 + y + z(a + b + c), y \in K_i, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $i = 1, 2$. Show that $\mathbb{P}(\Phi_1(I) = 0) = \mathbb{P}(\Phi_2(I) = 0)$ for every interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ but the distributions of Φ_1 and Φ_2 are different.

8. The Prokhorov distance for finite measures μ, ν is defined as

$$\varrho_P(\mu, \nu) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 : \mu(F) \leq \nu(F^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \nu(F) \leq \mu(F^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \text{ for every } F \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

where $F^\varepsilon = \{x \in E : \exists y \in F, d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$ is an open ε -neighbourhood of a closed set F . Show that ϱ_P is a metric.

Binomial, Poisson and Cox point process

1. Show that the mixed binomial point process with the Poisson distribution (with parameter λ) of the number of points N is a Poisson process with the intensity measure $\lambda \frac{\nu(\cdot)}{\nu(B)}$.
2. Let Φ be a Poisson point process with the intensity measure Λ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ be a given Borel set. Show that $\Phi|_B$ is a Poisson point process and determine its intensity measure.
3. Consider two independent Poisson point processes Φ_1 and Φ_2 with the intensity measures Λ_1 and Λ_2 . Show that $\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$ is a Poisson process and determine its intensity measure.
4. Consider the point pattern $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ observed in a compact observation window $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Suggest a test of the null hypothesis that the point pattern is a realization of a Poisson process.
5. Let Φ be a Poisson point process with the intensity measure Λ . Determine the covariance $\text{cov}(\Phi(B_1), \Phi(B_2))$ for $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$.
6. Let Φ be a binomial point process with n points in B and the measure ν . Determine the covariance $\text{cov}(\Phi(B_1), \Phi(B_2))$ for $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$.
7. Determine the second-order factorial moment measure of a binomial point process.
8. Dispersion of a random variable $\Phi(B)$ is defined as

$$D(\Phi(B)) = \frac{\text{var } \Phi(B)}{\mathbb{E} \Phi(B)}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}_0.$$

Show that

- a) for a Poisson process $D(\Phi(B)) = 1$,
- b) a binomial process is underdispersed, i.e. $D(\Phi(B)) \leq 1$,
- c) a Cox process is overdispersed, i.e. $D(\Phi(B)) \geq 1$.

Additional exercises

9. Let Φ be a mixed Poisson point process with the driving measure $Y \cdot \Lambda$, where Y is a non-negative random variable and Λ is a locally finite diffuse measure. Determine the covariance $\text{cov}(\Phi(B_1), \Phi(B_2))$ for $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and show that it is non-negative.
10. Determine the Laplace transform of a binomial point process.
11. Let Y be a random variable with a gamma distribution. Show that the corresponding mixed Poisson process Φ is a negative binomial process, i.e. that $\Phi(B)$ has a negative binomial distribution for every $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$.

Stationary point process

1. Show that a homogeneous Poisson point process is stationary and isotropic. Is there any stationary non-isotropic Poisson point process?
2. Based on the interpretation of the Palm distribution determine the Palm distribution and the reduced Palm distribution of a binomial point process.
3. Consider independent random variables U_1 and U_2 with uniform distribution on the interval $[0, a]$, $a > 0$, and the point process Φ in \mathbb{R}^2 defined as

$$\Phi = \sum_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{(U_1+ma, U_2+na)}.$$

Determine the Palm distribution and the reduced second-order moment measure of the process. Express its contact distribution function and the nearest-neighbour distribution function.

4. Show that for a homogeneous Poisson process with the intensity λ it holds that $PI = CE = 1$, $F(r) = G(r) = 1 - e^{-\lambda \omega_d r^d}$ and $J(r) = 1$.
5. Consider the point pattern $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ observed in a compact observation window $W \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and assume it is a realization of a stationary point process. How to estimate its intensity? How to estimate the values $F(r)$ and $G(r)$, $r > 0$?
6. Let $Y = \{Y(x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ be a weakly stationary Gaussian random field with the mean value μ and the autocovariance function $C(x, y) = \sigma^2 r(x - y)$, where σ^2 denotes the variance and r is the autocorrelation function of the random field Y . Consider the random measure

$$\Psi(B) = \int_B e^{Y(x)} dx, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}^d.$$

The Cox point process Φ with the driving measure Ψ is called a *log-Gaussian Cox process*. Show that the distribution of Φ is determined by its intensity and its pair-correlation function.

7. Determine the pair-correlation function of
 - a) the Thomas process,
 - b) the Matérn cluster process for $d = 2$.

Additional exercises

8. Determine the pair-correlation function of a binomial point process, provided it exists.
9. For a point process with the hard-core distance $r > 0$ and the intensity λ we define the *coverage density* as $\tau = \lambda |b(o, r/2)|$. It is in fact the mean volume fraction of the union of balls with the centers in the points of the process and the radii $r/2$. Determine the maximum possible value of τ for the following models:
 - a) Matérn hard-core process type I,
 - b) Matérn hard-core process type II.