Lecture 2 | 03.03.2025

Multivariate regression model (likelihood estimation & statistical properties)

- lacksquare longitudinal observations $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \{(Y_{ij}, \mathbf{X}_{ij}^{\top})^{\top}; \ i=1,\ldots,N; j=1,\ldots,n_i\}$
 - $lue{}$ for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent subjects observed repeatedly $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ times
 - □ dependent variable $Y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ and covariates $X_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ □ however, the data (random vectors) is \mathcal{D}_l does not form a random sample!

- lacksquare longitudinal observations $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \{(Y_{ij}, \mathbf{X}_{ij}^\top)^\top; i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$
 - lacksquare for $N\in\mathbb{N}$ independent subjects observed repeatedly $n_i\in\mathbb{N}$ times
 - □ dependent variable $Y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ and covariates $X_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ □ however, the data (random vectors) is \mathcal{D}_I does not form a random sample!
- lacksquare independent observations $\mathcal{D}_l \equiv \{(m{Y}_i^{\top}, (m{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, m{X}_{in:}^{\top}))^{\top}; i = 1, \dots, N\}$
 - lacksquare alternative notation for $m{Y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $(m{X}_{i1}^{ op}, \dots, m{X}_{in_i}^{ op})^{ op} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n_i}$
 - lacksquare random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{ op}, \mathbf{X}_{i1}^{ op}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in_i}^{ op})^{ op}$ are independent with variable lengths
 - \square random vectors in \mathcal{D}_I are independent but still not identically distributed!

- lacksquare longitudinal observations $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \{(Y_{ij}, \mathbf{X}_{ij}^{\top})^{\top}; i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$
 - lacksquare for $N\in\mathbb{N}$ independent subjects observed repeatedly $n_i\in\mathbb{N}$ times
 - □ dependent variable $Y_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ and covariates $X_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ □ however, the data (random vectors) is \mathcal{D}_I does not form a random sample!
- \square independent observations $\mathcal{D}_{l} \equiv \{(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}^{\top}, (\boldsymbol{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{in_{i}}^{\top}))^{\top}; i = 1, \dots, N\}$
 - \square alternative notation for $\mathbf{Y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $(\mathbf{X}_{i1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^\top)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n_i}$
 - \square random vectors $(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}^{\top}, \boldsymbol{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{in_{i}}^{\top})^{\top}$ are independent with variable lengths
- lacksquare random vectors in \mathcal{D}_I are independent but still not identically distributed!
- balanced longitudinal data $\mathcal{D}_B \equiv \{(\mathbf{Y}_i^\top, (\mathbf{X}_{i1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^\top))^\top; i = 1, \dots, N\}$
 - □ with the same number $n_i = n \in \mathbb{N}$ of repeated observations for i = 1, ..., N □ random vectors $(Y_i^\top, X_{i1}^\top, ..., X_{in}^\top)^\top$ are independent with the same length
 - \blacksquare the vectors in \mathcal{D}_B may or may not form a random sample!

 \square longitudinal observations $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \{(Y_{ii}, \mathbf{X}_{ii}^\top)^\top; i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$ \square for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent subjects observed repeatedly $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ times \square dependent variable $Y_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$ and covariates $X_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ \Box however, the data (random vectors) is \mathcal{D}_l does not form a random sample! \square independent observations $\mathcal{D}_{l} \equiv \{(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}^{\top}, (\boldsymbol{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{in}^{\top}))^{\top}; i = 1, \dots, N\}$ \square alternative notation for $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $(X_{i1}^\top, \dots, X_{in_i}^\top)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n_i}$ \square random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{\top}, \mathbf{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in_i}^{\top})^{\top}$ are independent with variable lengths random vectors in D_I are independent but still not identically distributed! balanced longitudinal data $\mathcal{D}_B \equiv \{(\mathbf{Y}_i^\top, (\mathbf{X}_{i1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^\top))^\top; i = 1, \dots, N\}$ \square with the same number $n_i = n \in \mathbb{N}$ of repeated observations for $i = 1, \dots, N$ \square random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{\top}, \mathbf{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^{\top})^{\top}$ are independent with the same length \square the vectors in \mathcal{D}_B may or may not form a random sample!

 \hookrightarrow what should be postulated in addition to be able to say that the data in \mathcal{D}_B already form a random sample (independent and identically distributed random vectors)?

 \square longitudinal observations $\mathcal{D}_L \equiv \{(Y_{ii}, \mathbf{X}_{ii}^\top)^\top; i = 1, \dots, N; j = 1, \dots, n_i\}$ \square for $N \in \mathbb{N}$ independent subjects observed repeatedly $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ times \square dependent variable $Y_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$ and covariates $X_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$ \Box however, the data (random vectors) is \mathcal{D}_l does not form a random sample! \square independent observations $\mathcal{D}_{l} \equiv \{(\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}^{\top}, (\boldsymbol{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \boldsymbol{X}_{in}^{\top}))^{\top}; i = 1, \dots, N\}$ \square alternative notation for $Y_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ and $(X_{i1}^\top, \dots, X_{in_i}^\top)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n_i}$ \square random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{\top}, \mathbf{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in_i}^{\top})^{\top}$ are independent with variable lengths \square random vectors in \mathcal{D}_{l} are independent but still not identically distributed! balanced longitudinal data $\mathcal{D}_B \equiv \{(\mathbf{Y}_i^\top, (\mathbf{X}_{i1}^\top, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^\top))^\top; i = 1, \dots, N\}$ \square with the same number $n_i = n \in \mathbb{N}$ of repeated observations for $i = 1, \dots, N$ \square random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{\top}, \mathbf{X}_{i1}^{\top}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{in}^{\top})^{\top}$ are independent with the same length \blacksquare the vectors in \mathcal{D}_R may or may not form a random sample!

 \hookrightarrow what should be postulated in addition to be able to say that the data in \mathcal{D}_B already form a random sample (independent and identically distributed random vectors)?

The main aims of longitudinal analysis

- ☐ Estimation of the cross-sectional dependence structure (between subjects) (averaging across different subpopulations defined by specific value of the covariates)
- Estimation of the time/spatial dependence structure (within subjects) (time/spatial change within a specific subject while the subject's covariates change too)
- ☐ Inference on marginal vs. hierarchical means
- ☐ Inference on subject-specific profiles and their developments

The main aims of longitudinal analysis

Estimation of the cross-sectional dependence structure (between subjects (averaging across different subpopulations defined by specific value of the covariates)
Estimation of the time/spatial dependence structure (within subjects) (time/spatial change within a specific subject while the subject's covariates change too)
Inference on marginal vs. hierarchical means
Inference on subject-specific profiles and their developments

→ the estimation and the following inference can be performed in terms of various characteristics and different inference tools

 \hookrightarrow the main interest will be given to the conditional distribution characterized by the conditional expectation in particular

Common approaches to longitudinal data

■ Naive methods

The longitudinal structure within a subject is firstly summarized into one (or more) characteristics and independent characteristics are regressed over independent subjects (e.g., separate time points analysis, area under the curve, analysis of endpoints, increments, covariance)

■ Simple methods

Marginal models similar to a standard cross-sectional study, however, with an additional assumption on the variance – generally $EY_i = X_i^{\top}\beta$ and $VarY_i = \mathbb{V}_i(\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$ must be estimated

Radom effects models

Allow for marginal as well as hierarchical interpretation – the regression coefficients may vary across subjects (modifications due to random effects) and the models apriori assume a specific correlation structure among repeated observations within the subjects

Transition models

Modelling the conditional expectation of Y_{ij} given past observations within the same subject and the explanatory variables X_{ij}

More general: multivariate regression

- multivariate linear regression as an extension of ordinary linear regression (multivariate linear regression vs. multiple (multi-variable) regression)
- \square general model formulation for $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ and $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ repeated measurements within each subject)}$

$$\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{XB} + \mathbb{U} \quad | \quad Y_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \mathbf{\beta}_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where
$$\mathbb{Y}=(Y_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$$
, $\mathbb{X}=(X_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,p}$, $\mathbb{B}=(\beta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{p,n}$, and $\mathbb{U}=(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$

More general: multivariate regression

- multivariate linear regression as an extension of ordinary linear regression (multivariate linear regression vs. multiple (multi-variable) regression)
- \square general model formulation for $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ and $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ repeated measurements within each subject})$

$$\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{XB} + \mathbb{U} \quad | \quad Y_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \mathbf{\beta}_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where
$$\mathbb{Y}=(Y_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$$
, $\mathbb{X}=(X_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,p}$, $\mathbb{B}=(\beta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{p,n}$, and $\mathbb{U}=(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$

- \square What are the corresponding data (let's denote the data as \mathcal{D}_S)? (recall, that the vector of the explanatory covariates is subject specific)
- What is the meaning of the formulae above? (note, that the time dependence is only reflected within $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$)
- What are the objects appearing in the expression?
- What are typical assumptions for such linear model?

More general: multivariate regression

- multivariate linear regression as an extension of ordinary linear regression (multivariate linear regression vs. multiple (multi-variable) regression)
- \square general model formulation for $\mathbb{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ and $\mathbb{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times p}$ $(N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ repeated measurements within each subject})$

$$\mathbb{Y} = \mathbb{XB} + \mathbb{U} \quad | \quad Y_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \mathbf{\beta}_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$$

where
$$\mathbb{Y}=(Y_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$$
, $\mathbb{X}=(X_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,p}$, $\mathbb{B}=(\beta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{p,n}$, and $\mathbb{U}=(\varepsilon_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{N,n}$

- \square What are the corresponding data (let's denote the data as \mathcal{D}_S)? (recall, that the vector of the explanatory covariates is subject specific)
- What is the meaning of the formulae above? (note, that the time dependence is only reflected within $\beta_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$)
- What are the objects appearing in the expression?
- What are typical assumptions for such linear model?

Question: What are the advantages or disadvantages of the longitudinal model formulation and the multivariate model formulation?

Parameter estimation

The main goal:

Estimation of the unknown parameters in $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ and the variance-covariance matrix of the random (row) vectors in \mathbb{U} (error terms)

In general:

Under different assumptions \Rightarrow different estimation approaches ⇒ different statistical properties of the estimates

- least squares maximum likelihood generalized method of moments likelihood-based estimation
- **u** ...

← specific set of the postulated assumptions implies certain statistical properties

(in most applications it is assumed that $\mathbb U$ has uncorrelated, normally distributed rows with a zero mean vector and some specific variance-covariance matrix Σ)

Multivariate normal distribution

- Multivariate normal model: $u_i \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ where $\mathbb{U} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)^{\top}$ \hookrightarrow where subject specific error vectors in \mathbb{U} are $\mathbf{u}_i = (\varepsilon_{i1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{in})^{\top}$
- starting with the multivariate normal regression model the unknown parameters can be estimated by the method of the maximum likelihood
- general form of the density of the multivariate normal distribution

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$$

- \square random sample $\mathcal{D}_S = \{ (\mathbf{Y}_i^\top, \mathbf{X}_i^\top)^\top; i = 1, ..., N \}, \mathbf{Y}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \mathbf{X}_i \in \mathbb{R}^p \}$
- the joint distribution of the random vectors $(\mathbf{Y}_i^{\top}, \mathbf{X}_i^{\top})^{\top}$ can be expressed/factorized as

$$F_{(Y,X)}(y,x) = F_{Y|X}(y|x) \cdot F_X(x) \qquad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

Likelihood and log-likelihood functions

likelihood function for the data in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and unknown means $\mu_{ii} = \mathbf{X}_i^{\top} \beta_i$ \hookrightarrow thus, the mean vector in the conditional distribution $F_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ is $\mu = \mathsf{X}_i^{ op} \mathbb{B}$

$$L(\mathbb{B}, \Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}) = \left[|2\pi\Sigma|^{-N/2} \cdot \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\boldsymbol{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{\top} \mathbb{B})^{\top} \Sigma^{-1} (\boldsymbol{Y}_{i} - \boldsymbol{X}_{i}^{\top} \mathbb{B}) \right\} \right]$$

hence, the log-likelihood function can be expressed as

$$I(\mathbb{B}, \Sigma, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{S}}) = -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} \log |2\pi\Sigma| - \frac{1}{2} trace \left[(\mathbb{Y} - \mathbb{X}\mathbb{B}) \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbb{Y} - \mathbb{X}\mathbb{B})^{\top} \right]$$

The empirical estimation of \mathbb{B}

 \hookrightarrow under the assumption that the matrix $\mathbb{X}^{\top}\mathbb{X}$ has a full rank $(p \in \mathbb{N})$, the maximum likelihood estimates of the mean parameters in $\mathbb{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ are given by the expression

$$\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_{N} = \left(\mathbb{X}^{\top}\mathbb{X}\right)^{-1}\mathbb{X}^{\top}\mathbb{Y}$$

- \square denote the fitted values as $\widehat{\mathbb{Y}} = \mathbb{X}\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$
- denote the residuals as $\widehat{\mathbb{U}} = \mathbb{Y} \widehat{\mathbb{Y}} = \mathbb{Y} \mathbb{X}\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$
- denote the corresponding (regression) projection matrix as $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{X}(\mathbb{X}^{\top}\mathbb{X})^{-1}\mathbb{X}^{\top}$ and the residual projection matrix as $\mathbb{P} = (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{H})$

Estimation of variance-covariance matrix

 \hookrightarrow under the assumption that the matrix $\mathbb{X}^{\top}\mathbb{X}$ has a full rank $(p \in \mathbb{N})$, the maximum likelihood estimate of the variance-covariance matrix $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is given by the expression

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{Y}^{\top} (\mathbb{I} - \mathbb{H}) \mathbb{Y} = \frac{1}{N} \widehat{\mathbb{U}}^{\top} \widehat{\mathbb{U}},$$

 \hookrightarrow the projection matrix $\mathbb H$ is also called the hat matrix and it projects from the N-dimensional real space $\mathbb R^N$ into a p-dimensional linear subspace. Alternatively, the matrix ($\mathbb I - \mathbb H$) is the projection matrix of the orthogonal projection into the residual subspace (the (N-p)-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb R^N$)

Useful formulae for derivations

 \square Linear form for $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}^{\top} \mathbf{x}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{a}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{a}$$

 \square Quadratic form for $\mathbb{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ (symmetric matrix)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = 2 \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{x} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{x}^{\top} \mathbb{A} \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x} \partial \boldsymbol{x}^{\top}} = 2 \mathbb{A}$$

■ Trace of a matrix X

$$\frac{\partial \textit{trace} \mathbb{X} \mathbb{A}}{\partial \mathbb{X}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbb{A}^{\top} & \text{for general } \mathbb{X} \\ \mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}^{\top} - \textit{diag}(\mathbb{A}) & \text{for } \mathbb{X} \text{ symmetric} \end{array} \right.$$

Statistical properties $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$ and $\widehat{\Sigma}_N$

- \square the estimates in $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$ are unbiased estimates for \mathbb{B}
- \square for $\widehat{\mathbb{U}} = \mathbb{Y} \mathbb{X}\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$ it holds that $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}\widehat{\mathbb{U}} = \mathbf{0}$
- $\ \ \widehat{\mathbb{B}}_{\mathit{N}}\ \ \mathsf{and}\ \ \widehat{\mathbb{U}}\ \ \mathsf{are}\ \ \mathsf{multivariate}\ \mathsf{normal}$
- lacksquare $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}_N$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{U}}$ are statistically independent
- \square covariance between $\widehat{\beta}_{ij}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{kl}$ is equal to $\sigma_{jl} \cdot (\mathbb{X}^{\top} \mathbb{X})^{-1}_{(ik)}$
- \square $N\widehat{\Sigma}_N \sim W_n(\Sigma, N-p)$