



Introduction to L -functions and Langlands program I

Vita Kala

Charles University, Prague

`sites.google.com/site/vitakala/`

May 17, 2020

- 1 Riemann zeta-function
- 2 Dirichlet L -functions
- 3 Artin L -functions

Existence of primes

Euclid: There are infinitely many primes

How many are there?

$\pi(x)$ = number of primes $p \leq x$

Theorem (Prime number theorem,
Hadamard, de la Vallée Poussin 1896)

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1$$

Proof based on idea of Riemann (1859) to study zeta-function

Existence of primes

Euclid: There are infinitely many primes

How many are there?

$\pi(x)$ = number of primes $p \leq x$

Theorem (Prime number theorem,
Hadamard, de la Vallée Poussin 1896)

$$\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi(x)}{x/\log x} = 1$$

Proof based on idea of Riemann (1859) to study zeta-function

Definition (Riemann ζ -function)

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

s real: converges for $s > 1$

Studied by Euler

Well-known special values

$$\sum \frac{1}{n^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

More useful to take s complex (Riemann 1859)

Absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$

$\Rightarrow \zeta(s)$ is *holomorphic* for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, i.e.,

has complex derivative

Definition (Riemann ζ -function)

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$$

s real: converges for $s > 1$

Studied by Euler

Well-known special values

$$\sum \frac{1}{n^2} = \zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$$

More useful to take s complex (Riemann 1859)

Absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$

$\Rightarrow \zeta(s)$ is *holomorphic* for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$, i.e.,

has complex derivative

WHY: Euler product

What has $\zeta(s)$ to do with primes?!

Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}},$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$,
 p runs over all primes.

Proof: Geometric series

$$\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right)$$

Multiply out and rearrange RHS to get

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} \cdot \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots$$

WHY: Euler product

What has $\zeta(s)$ to do with primes?!

Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}},$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$,
 p runs over all primes.

Proof: Geometric series

$$\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}} = \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^s} + \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \dots \right)$$

Multiply out and rearrange RHS to get

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{1^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{2^{2s}} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{2^s} \cdot \frac{1}{3^s} + \dots$$

Euler product and primes

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}$$

\Rightarrow there are infinitely many primes:

If finitely many, then RHS at $s = 1$ converges $\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} < \infty$

But harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, i.e., $\zeta(1) = \infty$.

First indication that understanding $\zeta(s)$ around $s = 1$ is useful for number of primes $\pi(x)$

Euler product and primes

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}$$

\Rightarrow there are infinitely many primes:

If finitely many, then RHS at $s = 1$ converges $\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} < \infty$

But harmonic series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ diverges, i.e., $\zeta(1) = \infty$.

First indication that understanding $\zeta(s)$ around $s = 1$ is useful for number of primes $\pi(x)$

Meromorphic continuation

Second key property of ζ -function

Definition/Theorem: Meromorphic continuation

$\zeta(s)$ has *meromorphic continuation*, i.e., there is meromorphic function $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ that extends $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \zeta(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Recall $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *meromorphic*,

if defined and has complex derivative for all points $s \in \mathbb{C}$

EXCEPT for a discrete set of *poles* s_0

at which behaves as $\frac{a}{(s-s_0)^k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Continuation of Riemann zeta-function has only one pole of order $k = 1$
(with residue $a = 1$):

Well-known $s_0 = 1$.

Meromorphic continuation is unique (if exists), so let's not distinguish $\zeta(s) := \tilde{\zeta}(s)$.

Meromorphic continuation

Second key property of ζ -function

Definition/Theorem: Meromorphic continuation

$\zeta(s)$ has *meromorphic continuation*, i.e.,
there is meromorphic function $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ that extends $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \zeta(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Recall $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *meromorphic*,

if defined and has complex derivative for all points $s \in \mathbb{C}$

EXCEPT for a discrete set of *poles* s_0

at which behaves as $\frac{a}{(s-s_0)^k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Continuation of Riemann zeta-function has only one pole of order $k = 1$

(with residue $a = 1$):

Well-known $s_0 = 1$.

Meromorphic continuation is unique (if exists), so let's not distinguish $\zeta(s) := \tilde{\zeta}(s)$.

Meromorphic continuation

Second key property of ζ -function

Definition/Theorem: Meromorphic continuation

$\zeta(s)$ has *meromorphic continuation*, i.e.,
there is meromorphic function $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ that extends $\tilde{\zeta}(s) = \zeta(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Recall $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *meromorphic*,

if defined and has complex derivative for all points $s \in \mathbb{C}$

EXCEPT for a discrete set of *poles* s_0

at which behaves as $\frac{a}{(s-s_0)^k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Continuation of Riemann zeta-function has only one pole of order $k = 1$

(with residue $a = 1$):

Well-known $s_0 = 1$.

Meromorphic continuation is unique (if exists), so let's not distinguish

$\zeta(s) := \tilde{\zeta}(s)$.

Theorem (Functional equation)

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s),$$

where

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is usual Γ -function that extends factorial: $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

LOOKS VERY COMPLICATED, SHOULD I STOP WATCHING?

NOT YET! Key: gives explicit relation between $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(1-s)$.
Ramanujan's "identity"

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \cdots = \zeta(-1) = 2^{-1} \pi^{-2} \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Gamma(2) \zeta(2) = -\frac{1}{12}.$$

Theorem (Functional equation)

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s),$$

where

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is usual Γ -function that extends factorial: $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

LOOKS VERY COMPLICATED, SHOULD I STOP WATCHING?

NOT YET! Key: gives explicit relation between $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(1-s)$.
Ramanujan's "identity"

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \cdots = \zeta(-1) = 2^{-1} \pi^{-2} \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Gamma(2) \zeta(2) = -\frac{1}{12}.$$

Theorem (Functional equation)

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s),$$

where

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} x^{z-1} e^{-x} dx$$

is usual Γ -function that extends factorial: $\Gamma(n) = (n-1)!$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

LOOKS VERY COMPLICATED, SHOULD I STOP WATCHING?

NOT YET! Key: gives explicit relation between $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta(1-s)$.
Ramanujan's "identity"

$$1 + 2 + 3 + 4 + 5 + \cdots = \zeta(-1) = 2^{-1} \pi^{-2} \sin\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \Gamma(2) \zeta(2) = -\frac{1}{12}.$$

Critical strip

Functional equation $\zeta(s) = \text{blabla} \cdot \zeta(1-s)$,
 \Rightarrow can use $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$ to compute values for $\text{Re}(s) < 0$.

Between is *critical strip* $0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1$.

Very mysterious behavior of zeta

Riemann hypothesis

s is zero of ζ -function in critical strip, i.e.,

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \text{Re}(s) < 1.$$

Then s lies on the center line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Riemann hypothesis

s is zero of ζ -function in critical strip, i.e.,

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1.$$

Then s lies on the center line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

WHY PROVE?

1. get famous and rich
2. understand asymptotics of primes:

get precise error terms for PNT $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{1!x}{(\log x)^2} + \frac{2!x}{(\log x)^3} + \cdots + O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$$

Already $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ PNT

3. develop tools that generalize to other L -functions

Riemann hypothesis

s is zero of ζ -function in critical strip, i.e.,

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1.$$

Then s lies on the center line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

WHY PROVE?

1. get famous and rich
2. understand asymptotics of primes:

get precise error terms for PNT $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{1!x}{(\log x)^2} + \frac{2!x}{(\log x)^3} + \cdots + O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$$

Already $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ PNT

3. develop tools that generalize to other L -functions

Riemann hypothesis

s is zero of ζ -function in critical strip, i.e.,

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1.$$

Then s lies on the center line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

WHY PROVE?

1. get famous and rich
2. understand asymptotics of primes:

get precise error terms for PNT $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{1!x}{(\log x)^2} + \frac{2!x}{(\log x)^3} + \cdots + O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$$

Already $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ PNT

3. develop tools that generalize to other L -functions

Riemann hypothesis

s is zero of ζ -function in critical strip, i.e.,

$$\zeta(s) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1.$$

Then s lies on the center line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

WHY PROVE?

1. get famous and rich
2. understand asymptotics of primes:

get precise error terms for PNT $\pi(x) \sim \frac{x}{\log x}$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{x}{\log x} + \frac{1!x}{(\log x)^2} + \frac{2!x}{(\log x)^3} + \cdots + O(\sqrt{x} \log x)$$

Already $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1 \Leftrightarrow$ PNT

3. develop tools that generalize to other L -functions

- 1 Riemann zeta-function
- 2 Dirichlet L -functions
- 3 Artin L -functions

Primes in arithmetic progressions

Theorem (Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, 1837)

There are infinitely many primes of the form $nt + a$ for every coprime $n, a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some cases, e.g., $4t - 1$ or $nt + 1$ elementary (using cyclotomic polynomials)

In general requires L -functions

Even stronger

Theorem (PNT for arithmetic progressions)

$$(\text{Number of primes } p = nt + a \leq x) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Primes in arithmetic progressions

Theorem (Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions, 1837)

There are infinitely many primes of the form $nt + a$ for every coprime $n, a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Some cases, e.g., $4t - 1$ or $nt + 1$ elementary (using cyclotomic polynomials)

In general requires L -functions

Even stronger

Theorem (PNT for arithmetic progressions)

$$(\text{Number of primes } p = nt + a \leq x) \sim \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \cdot \frac{x}{\log x}$$

Definition

Dirichlet character modulo n is a map $\chi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all positive integers u, v and k :

- χ is periodic modulo n : $\chi(u + kn) = \chi(u)$,
- χ is multiplicative: $\chi(uv) = \chi(u)\chi(v)$,
- $\chi(u) \neq 0$ iff u is coprime with n .

Exercise: All non-zero values of a character χ lie on the unit circle $|z| = 1$ and are $\varphi(n)$ -th roots of one $e^{2\pi ir/\varphi(n)}$ (with some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Dirichlet characters are suitable for capturing information modulo n

Examples of Dirichlet characters

Examples:

- Trivial character modulo n

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \gcd(u, n) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(u, n) > 1. \end{cases}$$

- Non-trivial character modulo 5

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \\ i & \text{if } u \equiv 2 \pmod{5}, \\ -i & \text{if } u \equiv 3 \pmod{5}, \\ -1 & \text{if } u \equiv 4 \pmod{5}, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \equiv 0 \pmod{5}. \end{cases}$$

- Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{u}{p}\right)$ modulo primes p .

Dirichlet L -functions

Riemann $\zeta(s)$ good to study primes, characters χ good for arithmetic progressions \Rightarrow let's combine them!

Definition (Dirichlet 1837)

Dirichlet L -function

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Notation by L already used by Dirichlet – apparently quite randomly

Examples:

$\chi =$ trivial character modulo 1: $L(s, \chi) = \zeta(s)$

$\chi =$ trivial character modulo p_0 : $L(s, \chi) = \prod_{p \neq p_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}$

Dirichlet L -functions

Riemann $\zeta(s)$ good to study primes, characters χ good for arithmetic progressions \Rightarrow let's combine them!

Definition (Dirichlet 1837)

Dirichlet L -function

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$.

Notation by L already used by Dirichlet – apparently quite randomly

Examples:

$\chi =$ trivial character modulo 1: $L(s, \chi) = \zeta(s)$

$\chi =$ trivial character modulo p_0 : $L(s, \chi) = \prod_{p \neq p_0} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{p^s}}$

Functional equation

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

Many analogies with Riemann ζ -function:

- Euler product of *degree 1*, i.e.,
we multiply $\frac{1}{P_{p,\chi}(p^{-s})}$, where $P_{p,\chi}(X) = 1 - \chi(p)X$ is polynomial of degree 1 (depending on χ and the prime p).
- Meromorphic continuation of $L(s, \chi)$ to $s \in \mathbb{C}$,
in fact holomorphic (i.e., no poles) if χ is non-trivial
- Functional equation,
that relates $L(s, \chi)$ and $L(1-s, \bar{\chi})$
where $\bar{\chi}$ is conjugate character $\bar{\chi}(u) := \overline{\chi(u)}$.
(even more technical than FE for $\zeta(s)$)

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m)}{m^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

Many analogies with Riemann ζ -function:

- Euler product of *degree 1*, i.e., we multiply $\frac{1}{P_{p,\chi}(p^{-s})}$, where $P_{p,\chi}(X) = 1 - \chi(p)X$ is polynomial of degree 1 (depending on χ and the prime p).
- Meromorphic continuation of $L(s, \chi)$ to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, in fact holomorphic (i.e., no poles) if χ is non-trivial
- Functional equation, that relates $L(s, \chi)$ and $L(1-s, \bar{\chi})$ where $\bar{\chi}$ is conjugate character $\bar{\chi}(u) := \overline{\chi(u)}$. (even more technical than FE for $\zeta(s)$)

Proof of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions

Theorem

There are infinitely many primes $nt + a$ for every coprime $n, a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Idea of proof: consider

$$S_{n,a}(s) = \sum_{p \equiv a \pmod{n}} \frac{1}{p^s}$$

if we prove a pole at $s = 1$, there are infinitely many $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$

To isolate AP, use identity

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{n}} \chi(a)^{-1} \cdot \chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \equiv a \pmod{n}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where sum is over all characters modulo n .

Proof of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions

Theorem

There are infinitely many primes $nt + a$ for every coprime $n, a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Idea of proof: consider

$$S_{n,a}(s) = \sum_{p \equiv a \pmod{n}} \frac{1}{p^s}$$

if we prove a pole at $s = 1$, there are infinitely many $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$

To isolate AP, use identity

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{n}} \chi(a)^{-1} \cdot \chi(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u \equiv a \pmod{n}, \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

where sum is over all characters modulo n .

Proof of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions 2

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \log \left(\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}} \right) = - \sum_p \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)$$

Taylor expansion $-\log(1 - x) = x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \dots$

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \text{small rest}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\chi \pmod n} \chi(a)^{-1} \log L(s, \chi) &\approx \sum_p \frac{1}{\varphi(n) p^s} \sum_{\chi \pmod n} \chi(a)^{-1} \chi(p) = \\ &= \sum_{p \equiv a \pmod n} \frac{1}{p^s} = S_{n,a}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Want pole at $s = 1 \Rightarrow$ study LHS, key is $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$

Proof of Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions 2

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \log \left(\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}} \right) = - \sum_p \log \left(1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right)$$

Taylor expansion $-\log(1 - x) = x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \dots$

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \text{small rest}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \sum_{\chi \pmod n} \chi(a)^{-1} \log L(s, \chi) &\approx \sum_p \frac{1}{\varphi(n)p^s} \sum_{\chi \pmod n} \chi(a)^{-1} \chi(p) = \\ &= \sum_{p \equiv a \pmod n} \frac{1}{p^s} = S_{n,a}(s) \end{aligned}$$

Want pole at $s = 1 \Rightarrow$ study LHS, key is $L(1, \chi) \neq 0$

- 1 Riemann zeta-function
- 2 Dirichlet L -functions
- 3 Artin L -functions

Vast web of conjectures

emerging and developing since 1960's

connections between number theory and representation theory

2018 Abel prize for Langlands

Broad goal: understand general L -functions

that encode algebraic or geometric information

(*primes or solutions to diophantine equations*)

want Euler Product, Meromorphic Continuation, Functional Equation

hard to prove directly

⇒ define analytic L -functions, for which FE easier

For now: what algebraic L -functions?

Cyclotomic fields

$K = \mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

E.g., $m = 4 \Rightarrow e^{2\pi i/4} = e^{\pi i/2} = i \Rightarrow K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$

Key property:

Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})/\mathbb{Q})$, i.e.,

group of all automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})$,
is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}_m^* = \{u \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < u < m, \gcd(u, m) = 1\}$$

Easy to describe: $u \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ corresponds to

$$\varphi_u : \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right),$$

$$\varphi_u\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi iu}{m}}.$$

Cyclotomic fields

$K = \mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

E.g., $m = 4 \Rightarrow e^{2\pi i/4} = e^{\pi i/2} = i \Rightarrow K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$

Key property:

Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})/\mathbb{Q})$, i.e.,

group of all automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})$,
is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{Z}_m^* = \{u \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 < u < m, \gcd(u, m) = 1\}$$

Easy to describe: $u \in \mathbb{Z}_m^*$ corresponds to

$$\varphi_u : \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right),$$

$$\varphi_u\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}\right) = e^{\frac{2\pi iu}{m}}.$$

Galois representations

In general have Galois extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \supset \mathbb{Q}$
(Galois \Leftrightarrow all roots of minimal polynomial of α lie in K)
Galois group $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) =$ all field automorphisms

To understand $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, consider its *Galois representations*, i.e.,
group homs

$$\rho : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices.

Motivation: $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is quite abstract group, but ρ realizes its elements
as specific matrices \Rightarrow can take determinant, eigenvalues, etc.

Galois representations

In general have Galois extension $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \supset \mathbb{Q}$
(Galois \Leftrightarrow all roots of minimal polynomial of α lie in K)
Galois group $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q}) =$ all field automorphisms

To understand $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$, consider its *Galois representations*, i.e.,
group homs

$$\rho : Gal(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathbb{C}),$$

where $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is group of $n \times n$ invertible matrices.

Motivation: $Gal(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is quite abstract group, but ρ realizes its elements
as specific matrices \Rightarrow can take determinant, eigenvalues, etc.

Artin L -function

General definition harder, so now only for cyclotomic $K = \mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})$ and 1-dimensional representations $\rho : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* = \text{GL}_1(\mathbb{C})$

Recall $\mathbb{Z}_m^* \simeq \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \Rightarrow$

Associate to ρ Dirichlet character modulo m

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} \rho(\varphi_u) & \text{if } \gcd(u, m) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gcd(u, m) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Artin L -function then equals Dirichlet L -function for character χ

$$L(s, \rho) = L(s, \chi).$$

This general correspondence of Artin “algebraic” and Dirichlet “analytic” L -functions was one of keystones on which Langlands built his program

Class field theory

$L(s, \rho) = L(s, \chi)$ holds for all 1-d representations $\rho : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$
(for any number field K)

Follows from *Class Field Theory*

Emil Artin, Helmut Hasse, John Tate \sim 1900 – 1950

describe all K with *commutative* Galois group similarly as

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m^*.$$

Special case: *quadratic reciprocity*

- relation between Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ for primes p, q ,
- i.e., solvability of congruences $x^2 \equiv p \pmod{q}$ and $x^2 \equiv q \pmod{p}$.

Even implies higher reciprocity laws for congruences of n -th degree

\Rightarrow main theorem is called Artin reciprocity law

\Rightarrow hypothetical, much more general Langlands reciprocity law

Class field theory

$L(s, \rho) = L(s, \chi)$ holds for all 1-d representations $\rho : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$
(for any number field K)

Follows from *Class Field Theory*

Emil Artin, Helmut Hasse, John Tate \sim 1900 – 1950

describe all K with *commutative* Galois group similarly as

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/m})/\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_m^*.$$

Special case: *quadratic reciprocity*

- relation between Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)$ for primes p, q ,
- i.e., solvability of congruences $x^2 \equiv p \pmod{q}$ and $x^2 \equiv q \pmod{p}$.

Even implies higher reciprocity laws for congruences of n -th degree

\Rightarrow main theorem is called Artin reciprocity law

\Rightarrow hypothetical, much more general Langlands reciprocity law

Studied Riemann zeta $\zeta(s)$,

$$\text{Dirichlet } L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

Artin $L(s, \rho)$

all L -functions of degree 1

Next:

- Elliptic curves: diophantine equations $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, give algebraic L -functions of degree 2
- Modular forms: corresponding analytic L -functions of degree 2
- Their correspondence \Rightarrow FLT
- Langlands program: Artin representations correspond to automorphic representations

Studied Riemann zeta $\zeta(s)$,

$$\text{Dirichlet } L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

Artin $L(s, \rho)$

all L -functions of degree 1

Next:

- Elliptic curves: diophantine equations $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, give algebraic L -functions of degree 2
- Modular forms: corresponding analytic L -functions of degree 2
- Their correspondence \Rightarrow FLT
- Langlands program: Artin representations correspond to automorphic representations

Studied Riemann zeta $\zeta(s)$,

$$\text{Dirichlet } L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s} = \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}},$$

Artin $L(s, \rho)$

all L -functions of degree 1

Next:

- Elliptic curves: diophantine equations $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$, give algebraic L -functions of degree 2
- Modular forms: corresponding analytic L -functions of degree 2
- Their correspondence \Rightarrow FLT
- Langlands program: Artin representations correspond to automorphic representations

Thanks for your attention!